Single Bench



(1) Cpl 1 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO.4772/2004

PETITIONERS

1. Dharam Singh, aged about 49 years, son of Late Bisahulal Teli

2. Laxmi Prasad, aged about 46 years, son of Late Bisahulal Teli

3. Amar Singh, aged about 36 years, son of Late Bisahulal Teli

4. Vyasnarayan, aged about 33 years, son of Late Bisahulal Teli

5. Parmeshwar, aged about 32 years, son of Late Bulluram Teli,

6. Ishwar Singh, aged about 30 years, son of Late Bulluram Teli,

7. Bhuvneshwar Singh, aged about 27 years, son of Late Bulluram Teli,

8. Rajeshwar singh, aged about 25 years, son of Late Bulluram Teli.

9. Dhananjay, aged about 18 years, son of Late Bulluram Teli,

All are resident of Gataura, Tah. Masturi, Distt. Bilaspur (CG)

10. Jamuna Bai, aged about 75 years, wife of Mohan Lal, resident of Kanhayeeband, Tah. Janjgir, distt. Janjgir-Champa.

P.R. No. 4768/04 Presented by Shri C.D. Singh dated 06-12-04

RECEIVED COPY 6/12/04 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Collector, Bilaspur (CG)

2. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The National Thermal Power Corporation, Seepat, through : the Chief Executive Officer / General Manager, H.T.P.C., Seepat, Bilaspur (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

(25)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4772 of 2004

Petitioners — Dharam Singh & others

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhatisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri P. Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Utkarsh Verma, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

Shri B. D. Guru, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

ORDER

(Passed on this 27th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instruction, seeks for permission of this Court to withdraw this petition, having become infructuous.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.
3. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur